

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

CARLOS JESUS TORRES,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. CV 19-00166 RB/SCY

CLOVIS POLICE,  
CURRY COUNTY DETENTION CENTER,

Defendants.

**MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

**THIS MATTER** is before the Court *sua sponte* under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) on the Civil Rights Complaint Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 filed by Plaintiff Carlos Jesus Torres on February 28, 2019. (Doc. 1). The Court will dismiss the Complaint without prejudice for failure to comply with a Court order and failure to prosecute.

The record reflects that certain mailings to Plaintiff were returned as undeliverable. (Docs. 6; 7.) The Court's research indicates that Plaintiff was released from the custody of the Curry County Detention Center on April 4, 2019. It appears that Plaintiff has been transferred or released from custody without advising the Court of his new address, as required by D.N.M. LR-Civ. 83.6, thus severing contact with the Court.

The Court issued an Order to Show Cause on September 4, 2019, directing Plaintiff to notify the Court of a new address, or otherwise show cause why the case should not be dismissed, within 30 days of entry of the Order. (Doc. 8.) More than 30 days has elapsed since entry of the Order to Show Cause and Plaintiff has not provided the Court with a new address, responded to the Court's Order, or otherwise shown cause why the case should not be dismissed.

Pro se litigants are required to follow the federal rules of procedure and simple, nonburdensome local rules. *See Bradenburg v. Beaman*, 632 F.2d 120, 122 (10th Cir. 1980). The local rules require litigants, including prisoners, to keep the Court apprised of their proper mailing address and to maintain contact with the Court. D.N.M. LR-Civ. 83.6. Plaintiff has failed to comply with D.N.M. LR-Civ. 83.6 and with the Court's April 19, 2019 Order to Show Cause.

Plaintiff Torres has failed to comply with the Court's order and failed to prosecute this action by not keeping the Court apprised of his current address. The Court may dismiss an action under Rule 41(b) for failure to prosecute, to comply with the rules of civil procedure, or to comply with court orders. *See Olsen v. Mapes*, 333 F.3d 1199, 1204, n.3 (10th Cir. 2003). Therefore, the Court will dismiss this civil proceeding pursuant to Rule 41(b) for failure to comply with the Court's Order and failure to prosecute this proceeding.

**IT IS ORDERED** that the Civil Rights Complaint Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 filed by Plaintiff Carlos Jesus Torres (Doc. 1) is **DISMISSED** without prejudice under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) for failure to comply with the Court's Order and failure to prosecute.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ROBERT C. BRACK  
SENIOR U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

